## LITERATURE CITED

- 1. M. I. Yusupov, Sh. Z. Kasymov, G. P. Sidyakin, and U. Rakhmankulov, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 579 (1979).
- 2. H. Voshioka, T. J. Mabry, M. A. Irwin, T. A. Geissman, and Z. Samek, Tetrahedron, 27, No. 15, 3317 (1971).
- 3. U. A. Abdullaev, Ya. V. Rashkes, M. I. Yusupov, and Sh. Z. Kasymov, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 796 (1980).

INTERACTION OF CEMBRENE WITH N-BROMOSUCCINIMIDE. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF THE CYCLIZATION PRODUCT

Yu. V. Gatilov, I. Yu. Bagryanskaya, N. I. Yaroshenko, and V. A. Raldugin UDC 547,595.9:548.737

The addition of the elements of hypobromous acid (reaction with N-bromosuccinimide in aqueous acetone) to cembrene (I) takes place predominantly with the formation of three stereo-isomeric 4,5-bromohydrins, as has been shown previously [1]. Among the minor products of the reaction we directed our attention to a product giving on TLC (spraying with sulfuric acid) a spot which after 15-20 min acquired a blue-violet coloration not characteristic for cembrene derivatives. When isolated by chromatography on silica gel, it had the form of colorless crystals with mp 123-124°C (from acetonitrile),  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  -52.2° (c 2.68; chloroform) and the empirical formula  $C_{20}H_{32}0Br_2$  (elementary analysis and mass spectrometry), and its yield was about 1.5%. These crystals were used for x-ray structural analysis, which was carried out on a "Syntex P2<sub>1</sub>" diffractometer ( $\lambda$  Mo  $K_{\alpha}$ ,  $\omega$  scanning, 20 < 50°, 4088 reflections with I > 2 $\sigma$ , absorption being taken into account on the basis of the experimental transmission curve, direct method). Crystallographic results:  $\alpha$  = 9.900(2), b = 13.329(4), c = 31.320(8) Å,  $\beta$  = 96.56(2)°, z = 8 space group P2<sub>1</sub>. The choice of observed configuration was made on the basis of the following results of refinement by the method of least squares (block-diagonal anisotropic approximation without H atoms and taking the anomalous scattering of the Br atoms into account) of two enantiomeric structures: R = 0.080, R<sub>W</sub> = 0.061, and R = 0.082, R<sub>W</sub> = 0.065, respectively.

The structure and absolute configuration of the product obtained are expressed by formula (II). All three carbon rings have the chair form with the equatorial positions of the iso-propenyl and hydroxy groups, and also of the bromine atom at C<sub>7</sub>. Both methyl groups and the bromine atom at C<sub>5</sub> have the axial configuration. The mean length of the C-Br<sub>ax</sub> bond for the four independent molecules is 2.02 Å, and for C-Br<sub>eq</sub> 1.98 Å.

Novosibirsk Institute of Organic Chemistry, Siberian Branch, Academy of Sciences of the USSR. Translated from Khimiya Prirodnykh Soedinenii, No. 5, pp. 651-652, September-October, 1983. Original article submitted April 22, 1983.

On the basis of the stereochemistry of the compound obtained, its formation can be represented in the following way. First a  $Br^+$  ion attacks the cembrene molecule at  $C_5$  from the sterically more favorable  $\delta$  side [1], and a subsequent intramolecular participation of the  $C_{11}$  double bond and the neutralization of the bicyclic carbocation by the  $OH^-$  anion lead to the alcohol (III). The addition of a second  $Br^+$  ion at  $C_7$  and subsequent cyclization lead to the ion (IV) in which a hydride shift takes place from  $C_{15}$  to  $C_4$ . As can be seen from a Drieding model, the possibility of this 1,5-shift is determined by the spatial propinquity of  $C_{(15)}-H$  and  $C_4$ .

## LITERATURE CITED

1. V. A. Raldugin, N. I. Yaroshenko, and M. A. Shakirov, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 150 (1983).

## OLEANOLIC ACID DIRHAMNOSIDE AND HEDERAGENIN TRIRHAMNOSIDE

N. Sh. Pal'yants and N. K. Abubakirov

UDC 547.918:547.597

The glycosylation of oleanolic acid (I) and of hederagenin (II) with acetobromorhamnose has been carried out under conditions given in the literature [1, 2]. The glycoside acetates obtained in this way have been saponified with ammonia in ethanol.

The products of the interaction of oleanolic acid with acetobromorhamnose, after deacetylation, were chromatographed on a column of SiO<sub>2</sub>. Elution with the chloroform methanol (15:1) system gave the crystalline 3,28-di- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranoside of oleanolic acid (III), C42H68O<sub>11</sub>, mp 242-244°C (from methanol),  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$  -10.60 ± 2° (c 1.13; chloroform-methanol (1:1));  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3600-3350 (OH), 1700 (C=O group). PMR (C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N, ppm): 0.68, 0.75, 0.80, 1.09 (7 × CH<sub>5</sub>, protons at C-23, C-24, C-25, C-26, C-27, C-29, and C-30; singlet signals partially overlapping one another); 1.52 (6 H of the methyl groups of two rhamnose residues, br.s); 3.01 (H at C-3, m); 3.95-4.55 (8 H at all the carbon atoms of the two rhamnose residues, apart from 1 and 6, m); 5.11 (anomeric proton of a rhamnose residue at C-3, br.s); 5.22 (H at C-12, m); 6.54 (anomeric proton at C-28, br.s). The yield of (III) was 85%, calculated on the oleanolic acid.

By chromatographing the deacetylated products of the condensation of hederagenin (II) with acetobromorhamnose on a column of  $SiO_2$  in the chloroform-methanol (4:1) system, the crystalline 3,23,28-tri- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranoside of hederagenin (IV) was isolated:  $C_{4.8}H_{7.8}O_{1.6}$ , mp 233-234°C (from methanol),  $[\alpha]_D^{2.2}$  -12.1 ± 2° (c 1.15; methanol).  $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3550-3350 (OH); 1740 (C=O group). PMR ( $C_5D_5N$ ), ppm: 0.57, 0.76, 1.03 (6 × CH<sub>3</sub>, protons at C-24, C-25, C-26, C-27, C-29, and C-30; singlet signals partially overlapping one another); 1.54 (9 H of the methyl groups of three rhamnose residues); 3.05 (2 H at C-3, and C-23, m); 3.70 (H at C-23, m); 3.90-4.50 (12 H at all the carbon atoms of the three rhamnose residues apart from 1 and 6, m); 5.05; 5.16 (anomeric protons of rhamnose residues at C-3, and C-23, br.s); 5.21 (H at C-12, m); 6.55 (anomeric proton of the rhamnose residue at C-28, br. s). The yield of (IV) was 37% calculated on the hederagenin

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{I. } R_{1} = H \; ; \quad R_{2} = CH_{3} \\ \text{II. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{2} = CH_{2}OH \\ \text{III. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{2} = CH_{2}OH \\ \text{III. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{2} = CH_{3} \\ \text{III. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{2} = CH_{3} \\ \text{III. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{2} = CH_{3}OH \\ \text{III. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{2} = CH_{3}OH \\ \text{III. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{2} = CH_{3}OH \\ \text{III. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{2} = CH_{3}OH \\ \text{III. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{2} = CH_{3}OH \\ \text{III. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{4} = CH_{3}OH \\ \text{III. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{4} = CH_{3}OH \\ \text{III. } R_{4} = H \; ; \quad R_{4} = H \; ; \quad$$

Institute of the Chemistry of Plant Substances, Academy of Sciences of the Uzbek SSR, Tashkent. Translated from Khimiya Prirodnykh Soedinenii, No. 5, pp. 652-653, September-October, 1983. Original article submitted March 30, 1983.